

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline
<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline
<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Because you want to build on protected green belt land when there are brownfield sites that could be used.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Don't build on green belt.
<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline
<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	You want to build on green belt
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Prioritise brownfield and do not build on greenbelt
<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline
<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 5 Flood Risk and Water Environment
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Godley already suffers with excess water run off and flooding in certain areas. If 2300 houses are built, this will not be compatible with your policy.
<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline
<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 6 Clean Air
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	How can the residents of Gee cross, godley and hattersley have clean air with 2300 extra houses with increased traffic and pollution. All our clean air will be taken away with thousands of trees taken away which contributes to our clean air!
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Don't build on green belt
<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	How can you you build on greenbelt land and destroy thousands of trees and wildlife habitats, yet say that you want to protect this!
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Don't build on greenbelt
<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline
<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Our access to green spaces will be destroyed if you go ahead and build godley green garden village.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Don't build on green belt
<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline
<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	You will be destroying thousands of living creatures natural habit if you build godley green garden village
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance</b>	Build on brownfield sites instead

<b>or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline
<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	You cannot build 2300 houses on green belt land and say that you want to protect the greenbelt.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Don't build godley green
<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline
<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>This goes against so many of your policies, such as retaining green spaces, clean air, flooding, prioritising brownfield sites.</p> <p>The local infrastructure will not cope with the increased traffic and population. Hattersley and godley train stations will not cope with demand, the rail network have already confirmed that they can not increase capacity on the trains due to their platform length which will mean more people using their cars. The bus routes in gee cross along mottram old rd are non-existent.</p> <p>Even though the plans are for education and health facilities, this will still have a major impact on local facilities. The schools are oversubscribed as it is as are GPs and you can't get a NHS dentist in the whole of Tameside.</p> <p>You can protect the natural biodiversity and habitats of local wildlife by not building 2300 houses on protected greenbelt land.</p> <p>The physical and mental health of local residents has not been taken into account. The stress it will cause alongside the loss of precious greenspace, increased pollution, excess cars, litter, reduced access to local facilities.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	There are plenty of brownfield sites in Tameside that can be used before the greenbelt land is used.
<b>Family Name</b>	Grimshaw
<b>Given Name</b>	Caroline
<b>Person ID</b>	1286315
<b>Title</b>	JPA 32: South of Hyde
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details</b>	The local infrastructure will not cope with the increased housing, and the loss of the greenspace will have a negative impact on local residents. This

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

**of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.**

is contributing to urban sprawl and we need to protect our green spaces for the health of our local residents.

**Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.**

Don't build the houses.